

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/694,202	1	10/27/2003	Marlow C. Jordahl	3856 EXAMINER	
27034	7590	09/23/2004			
NEAL O. W	'ILLMA	NN		VERBITSKY, C	GAIL KAPLAN
9521 MONTO CINCINNAT				VERBITSKY, GAIL KAPLAN ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	PAPER NUMBER
CHICHINAI	1, 011 7	1 <i>34</i> 74		2859	

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(X	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/694,202	JORDAHL, MARLOW	. C.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gail Verbitsky	2859	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss
• •	DIVIO OCT TO EVDIDE AL	AONTU(O) EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean of the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean of the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean of the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean of the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean of the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean of the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean of the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean of the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are the period by	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
,	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-14</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-9 are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But	nents have been received. The priority documents have been	Application No	age
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)	A) []	Cummon/(DTO 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	′ -	Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	i2)

Application/Control Number: 10/694,202 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-9, drawn to method for detection of an altered state, classified in class 374, subclass 57.
 - II. Claims 10-14, drawn to device for detection of an altered state, classified in class 374, subclass 5.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- 3. Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the device of Invention II does not require a method of Invention I because the method requires a signal to advise to indicate that the change in temperature exceeds a predetermined threshold while the device requires a signal indicating a temperature increase (does not necessarily requires to compare temperature to a predetermined temperature). Invention II requires a heating means to establish and maintain the internal temperature, not required by Invention I. Invention II requires a tube housing not required by Invention I.

1

Application/Control Number: 10/694,202 Page 3

Art Unit: 2859

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

A) species directed to a physical change as the altered state (claim 2),

B) species directed to a chemical change as the altered state (claim 3)

C) species directed to an accumulation of scale as the altered state (claim 4)

D) species directed to a reduction in quantity (claim 5)

E) species directed to discoloration (claim 6)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

A) species directed to a dishwasher (claim 7)),

B) species directed to a water heater (claim 8),

C) species directed to a boiler (claim 9),

3. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Willman, on September 02, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 10-14. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-9 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/694,202 Page 4

Art Unit: 2859

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 10, 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hutchinson (U.S. 6393312) in view of Moscal (U.S. 5615953).

Hutchinson discloses a boiler (steam generator/ water heater) 10 having a heater 15 inside and a heating medium (water/ steam). The boiler has a temperature sensor and a flow sensor to control the heater temperature. Hutchinson states that the heater surface is susceptible to build-up of calcium (accumulation) on its surface.

Although Hutchinson states that the walls of the boiler are susceptible to buildup accumulation, Hutchinson does not explicitly teach to detect the build-up accumulation (altered state).

Moscal teaches that temperature profile of bank tubes of a boiler is indicative of deposit accumulation on the tube.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device disclosed by Hutchinson, so as to measure temperature profile of the tubes, as taught by Moscal, so as to determine if there is a temperature related accumulation (build-up/ deposit) of calcium on the tubes, so as to minimize the accumulation, in order to protect the device from damage.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,202

Art Unit: 2859

Page 5

6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hutchinson and Moscal as applied to claims 10, 12-14 above, and further in view of Witt et al. (U.S. 6428627) [hereinafter Witt].

Hutchinson and Moscal disclose the device as stated above in paragraph 5.

They do not teach that the device can be a dishwasher, as stated in claim 11.

Witt states that a heater tube to a dishwasher is susceptible to mineral build-up causing failure of a heating element.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device disclosed by Hutchinson and Moscal, so as to measure temperature profile of the tube of the heater being a part of the dishwasher, as taught by Witt, so as to determine if there is a temperature related accumulation (build-up/ deposit), because heaters of dishwashers are susceptible to build-up which can cause failure of the heater.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 7. applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited in the PTO-892 and not mentioned above disclose related devices and methods.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner Verbitsky who can be reached at (571) 272-2253 Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:00 ET. : Olchother

GKV

Gail Verbitsky

Primary Patent Examiner, TC 2800

September 08, 2004